

1 **Magnetic nanoparticles for “smart liposomes”**

2
3 Yoshitaka Nakayama¹, Mislav Mustapić², Haleh Ebrahimian¹, Pawel Wagner², Jung Ho Kim²,
4 Md Shahriar Al Hossain², Joseph Horvat², Boris Martinac^{1,3,#}

5
6 ¹Molecular Cardiology and Biophysics Division, Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute,
7 NSW 2010, Australia, ²Institute For Superconducting and Electronic Material (ISEM),
8 University of Wollongong, Squires Way, North Wollongong, NSW 2500, ³St Vincent’s
9 Clinical School, University of New South Wales, Darlinghurst, NSW 2010

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 #Corresponding author: Dr Boris Martinac
19 Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute
20 Lowy Packer Building
21 405 Liverpool St
22 Darlinghurst, NSW 2010
23 Australia
24
25

1 **Abstract:** (173/200 words)

2 Liposomal drug delivery systems (LDDS) are promising tools used for the treatment of
3 diseases where highly toxic pharmacological agents are administered. Currently, a major
4 challenge remains to destabilise LDDS by a specific stimulus at a target site. The bacterial
5 mechanosensitive channel of large conductance (MscL) presents an excellent candidate
6 biomolecule that could be employed as a remotely controlled pore-forming nanovalve for
7 triggered drug release from LDDS. In this study, we have developed superparamagnetic
8 nanoparticles for activation of the MscL nanovalves by magnetic field. Synthesised CoFe_2O_4
9 nanoparticles with the radius less than 10 nm were labelled by SH-groups for attachment to
10 MscL. Activation of MscL by magnetic field with the nanoparticles attached was examined
11 by the patch clamp technique showing that the number of activated channels under ramp
12 pressure increased upon application of magnetic field. In addition, we have not observed any
13 cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles in human cultured cells. Our study suggests the possibility of
14 using magnetic nanoparticles as a specific trigger for activation of MscL nanovalves for drug
15 release in LDDS.

16

17

18

19

20 **Keywords**

21 Magnetic nanoparticles, CoFe_2O_4 , mechanosensitive channels, MscL, liposomes, drug
22 delivery system, patch clamp

23

1 **Introduction:**

2 Liposomes are one of the mainstream particulate drug carriers used in modern
3 medicine (Janoff et al. 1999, Svenson et al. 2004). Liposomal drug delivery systems (LDDSs)
4 provide a widely applicable method of drug encapsulation and delivery. In their basic form
5 liposomes consist of naturally occurring biocompatible phospholipid vesicles (Suntres et al.
6 2011) that act as physical barriers to protect the drug cargo from degradative enzymes. Due to
7 their stability during blood circulation liposomes are useful as sustained-action delivery
8 vehicles for drugs for which low bioavailability or toxicity is a problem. Ideally, a LDDS
9 should be stable, have a long half-life during circulation, concentrate at a target site and
10 release the cargo it contains in a controlled manner upon a specific stimulus (Koçer, 2007).
11 Currently, the major shortcoming of LDDS is that they lack an effective drug release
12 mechanism, which would help to increase their efficacy.

13 In recent years, a rapidly growing interest for superparamagnetic nanoparticles has
14 been motivated by numerous existing and expected applications in biomedicine such as
15 separation of magnetically tagged cells, targeted drug or radionuclide transport into the cells
16 or tissues and contrast improvement in diagnostic MRI and magnetic hyperthermia, amongst
17 many others (Pankhurst et al. 2003, Pankhurst et al. 2009). The use of magnetic nanoparticles
18 as a drug delivering system is still defined by its biocompatibility and selective targeting to
19 the desired target tissue under the guidance of external magnetic field. Advances in current
20 technologies and the development of magnetic nanoparticles as drug delivery systems to
21 deliver drugs to tumor hypoxic zones have fast-tracked in the past decade and led to the
22 development of various magnetic nano-formulations including liposomes. This novel drug
23 delivery system has increased the ability to deliver drugs for which conventional therapy has
24 shown limited efficacy (Sun et al. 2008). The field of magnetic drug delivery is still in its
25 infancy, and synthesis of better magnetic drug delivery systems and integration of

1 multifunctional ligands are being continuously investigated so as to carry it from the bench-
2 top to the clinic (Wahajuddin et al. 2012).

3 Bacterial mechanosensitive (MS) channel MscL, when activated, protects the
4 microbial cell from sudden increase in membrane tension due to a hypo-osmotic shock by
5 allowing rapid efflux of intracellular solutes (Levina et al. 1999). The salient feature of MscL
6 is its very large non-selective channel pore of 28 Å in diameter (Cruickshank et al 1997,
7 Corry et al. 2010, Wang et al. 2014), which is the largest of all known MS ion channels
8 (approx. 10-100 times larger than typical eukaryotic MS channels). It is permeable to
9 molecules as large as most peptides and even small proteins of up to 6.5 kDa (van den
10 Bogaart et al. 2007). Together with its ability to be activated by membrane tension in
11 liposome membranes (Martinac et al. 2011, Teng et al. 2015), its large pore makes MscL an
12 ideal candidate for a triggered nanovalve able to release a cargo of small molecules from
13 LDDS. For this purpose MscL has already been engineered as a remote-controlled nanovalve
14 switchable by light (Koçer, 2007).

15 In this study, we have developed superparamagnetic CoFe₂O₄ nanoparticles for
16 binding to MscL. We show their lack of toxicity on a human cell culture. In addition, we
17 demonstrate the activation of MscL by magnetic field in the presence of the
18 superparamagnetic nanoparticles using patch fluorometry.

19

1 **Materials and Methods:**

2 *Synthesis of CoFe₂O₄*

3 The synthesis of CoFe₂O₄ was performed by wet chemical process. FeCl₃·6H₂O and
4 Co(aceta)₂·4H₂O (molar ratio 2:1) were dissolved in DI water previously bubbled with pure
5 argon. Whole experiment was maintained under inert atmosphere, with temperature of
6 reaction kept at 0°C. Hydrazine was used as a reducing agent in alkaline environment.
7 Following the hydrazine, 1,6 hexanedithiol was added as a surfactant. Black precipitates
8 started to appear after few minutes. Shortly after that, reaction was stopped and nanoparticles
9 removed using external magnet, washed with DI water and acetone, and finally dried.
10 Conducting the experiment at low temperature, high concentration of starting precursors in
11 presence of surfactant and in limited time enables the preparation of very small and uniform
12 nanoparticles.

13

14 *Transmission electron microscopy*

15 TEM imaging was performed in a probe-corrected JEOL ARM200F equipped with cold field
16 emission gun and an UltraScan CCD. The microscope is also equipped with a Centurio EDS
17 detector with collection angle of ~1 sr. The sample was diluted in emulsion of DI water and
18 cyclohexane, centrifuged, and drop-casted in a Holey carbon Cu grid for TEM observation.

19

20 *Protein purification*

21 The MscL protein contains no cysteine residues, so we were able to generate a site that could
22 be uniquely labeled with superparamagnetic nanoparticles coated with SH-groups using site-
23 directed mutagenesis to introduce the substitution M42C. This site is located on the outer
24 edge of the extracellular side of the protein. According to the crystal structure of the MscL
25 protein in a closed state (Chang et al. 1998) it is comprised of five identical subunits

1 surrounding a central pore. Thus, the M42C mutation introduced five identical cysteine sites.
2 The mutant was cloned into a pQE-32 expression vector as BamHI-SalI fragments, expressed
3 in *E. coli* and purified using Co-NTA affinity chromatography for reconstitution into
4 liposomes, as described previously (Perozo et al. 2002). Consequently, each channel protein
5 could theoretically have had five nanoparticles attached in our patch flurometry experiments.

6

7 *Liposome preparation*

8 Liposomes made of azolectin (Sigma) were produced using the Dehydration/Rehydration
9 method as reported previously (Häse et al. 1995). Briefly, the lipids were dissolved in
10 chloroform and dried with a N₂ gas stream until a thin film of lipid was formed on the wall of
11 a glass tube. 0.2 ml of D/R buffer (200 mM KCl, 5 mM Hepes-KOH) was added to the tube.
12 After sonication for 5 min, purified M42C MscL protein was added in a 1/1,000 [w:w] ratio
13 in the liposome suspension. 2.8 ml of D/R buffer was added and incubated for 1 h on a
14 rotatory shaker when biobeads (Bio-rad) were added and the suspension was incubated for
15 further 3 h to remove detergent. . The suspension was centrifuged at 250,000 × g. The
16 liposome pellet was resuspended in 60 µl of D/R buffer and spotted onto a glass slide. The
17 sample was dehydrated overnight under vacuum, and rehydrated next morning by adding 20
18 µl of D/R buffer of each dehydrated lipid spot on the slide. The rehydrated liposomes were
19 used for experiments after minimum three hours and up to three days after rehydration.

20

21 *Patch clamp experiments*

22 Glass pipettes [Drummond Scientific, Broomall, PA] were pulled fresh before every
23 experiment using a Narishige gravity puller [PP-83; Narishige, Tokyo, Japan]. Negative ramp
24 pressure was applied by a high-pressure clamp apparatus (HSPC-1 ALA Scientific
25 Instruments, USA). Currents were amplified with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon

1 Instruments), and data were acquired at a sampling rate of 5 kHz with 2 kHz filtration. The
2 channel currents were recorded at +30 mV and data were analysed using the pClamp 10
3 analysis software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA). Magnetic particles were put inside a
4 pipette at a concentration of 100 µg/ml. Magnetic field was applied to the liposome
5 membrane patch by putting ring shaped magnets on the patch clamp chamber. Pressure
6 threshold was adjusted before applying magnetic field.

7

8 *Patch fluorometry*

9 Creeping of fluorescently labelled liposome patches in the patch pipettes was observed as
10 previously described (Nomura et al. 2012). To visualize liposome patches the pipette tip was
11 bent ~30° with a microforge (Narishige; MF-900) to become parallel to bottom face of the
12 chamber. Liposomes consisted of azolectin (99.9%) and rhodamine-PE (0.1%) containing
13 M42C MscL. The fluorescence was detected using a Zeiss LSM 700 confocal microscope.
14 The pipette solution and magnetic particles were the same as in the patch-clamp experiments.
15 A 555-nm laser line was used to excite the fluorophore labelled patches with emission
16 detected using a long pass 560-nm filter.

17

18 *Testing cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles*

19 The cultures of the human A549 lung cancer cells were started with approximately 360,500
20 cells/mL to 584,375 cells/mL in 10 % FBS supplemented DMEM media in 24 well microtiter
21 plate. The cultures with or without the nanoparticles were then incubated for 24 hrs in an
22 incubator with 5% CO₂ and 95% humidified atmosphere. The cells were removed from the
23 culture by trypsinization after 24 hrs and washed 2 times with Dulbecco's PBS (pH 7.4) to
24 remove any presence of serum, which interferes with the staining. The cells were then re-
25 suspended in PBS and aliquots of 20 µL were made from all the different cultures. Equal

1 amount (v/v) of 0.4% trypan blue stain, which was filtered previously, was added to the
2 aliquots, and were allowed to settle for 1 min. The live cells are observed as non-colored, as
3 the cell surface is intact and in turn will repel the stain, whereas the cells with damaged
4 cytoplasm are observed as blue colored cells. In all cases the cells were counted as dead
5 (coloured) or alive ones (non-coloured). Their ratio was used to calculate the percentage of
6 viability of a culture treated with a particular nanoparticles. The percentage of cell viability
7 was calculated using the following expression,

$$\% \text{ of viability} = \frac{\text{Total number of viable cells/mL}}{\text{Total number of cells/mL}} \times 100$$

8

1 **Results:**

2 *The Characterization of the superparamagnetic nanoparticles*

3 X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the synthesised CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles are shown
4 in Figure 1A. The XRD pattern consists of fairly broad but still resolved peaks superimposed
5 on smoothly varying background intensity. Such broad XRD peaks are characteristic for
6 nanoparticles with relatively large thickness of the disordered surface layer in comparison to
7 their diameter. By comparing our results to the XRD pattern of crystalline CoFe_2O_4 (Traces
8 00-002-1054, space group $\text{Fd}3\text{m}$) a good correspondence is obtained (Fig. 1A). Therefore, the
9 obtained XRD pattern is consistent with the presence of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles and there is
10 no indication for existence of any other crystalline phases in the obtained powders. TEM
11 observations show that the diameter of our nanoparticles is $\sim 5\text{nm}$ (Fig. 1B). For this
12 diameter, the thickness of the disordered surface layer is about 0.8 nm (Sun et al., 2012).
13 Therefore, the volume of the disordered surface layer is estimated as 40% of the total
14 nanoparticle volume. This is the reason for obtaining the broad XRD peaks, which still
15 resemble the crystalline XRD pattern.

16 Figure 1C shows the magnetic hysteresis loops of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles at different
17 temperatures. The obtained S-shaped hysteresis loops with negligible coercive field (H_c) are a
18 typical feature of superparamagnetic nanoparticles. Due to small nanoparticle size, the
19 magnetic moment of individual nanoparticles is small enough to be misaligned by thermal
20 excitations. Application of magnetic field aligns the magnetic moments of nanoparticles and
21 the magnetization of the sample is almost fully saturated at low fields (300 mT). The
22 saturation moment (M_s) observed in this study was 43.5 emu g^{-1} at 10 K and 37.3 emu g^{-1} at
23 310 K for CoFe_2O_4 with average nanoparticle size of less than 6 nm. While H_c for the
24 nanoparticles is negligible at room temperature, it becomes 180 Oe at 10K. For comparison,
25 the size, M_s and H_c of the nanoparticles in previous studies are listed (Table 1). Magnetic

1 saturation of our nanoparticles is consistent with the values reported for nanoparticles of
2 various sizes, prepared by sol-gel method and annealed at different temperatures (Lee et al.
3 1998). Strong magneto-elastic coupling in CoFe_2O_4 allowed for studying the evolution of the
4 surface spin disorder using infrared spectroscopy methods (Sun et al. 2012). Ferrimagnetic
5 order of CoFe_2O_4 is disrupted in the disordered surface layer, resulting in a smaller magnetic
6 moment. The decrease of the magnetic saturation of the nanoparticles occurs as the ratio of
7 the disordered surface volume to the total nanoparticle volume increases. This effect is
8 accelerated as the nanoparticle size goes below 10 nm (Sun et al. 2012).

9 FTIR spectroscopic analysis provided a clear signature of 1,6-haxanedithiol (Ozturk
10 et al. 2005) in the nanoparticles (Figure 1D). The absorption bands at 2927 and 2853 cm^{-1} are
11 attributed to stretching modes of -CH in 1,6-haxanedithiol. The bands at 1459 and 1432 cm^{-1}
12 are due to bending modes of $-\text{CH}_2$. The bands at 1351, 1269 and 1234 cm^{-1} are from the
13 wagging modes of $-\text{CH}_2$. The band at 1124 cm^{-1} is from the CH_2 twisting mode and the band
14 at 1071 cm^{-1} is from the C-C stretching mode (Ozturk et al. 2005). The strong absorption
15 band just at the edge of the sensitivity window of our spectrometer at $\sim 570 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is the ν_1
16 vibrational mode of CoFe_2O_4 (Pauline et al. 2012, Guilherme et al. 2009).

17

18 *The effect of magnetic nanoparticles on the gating of MscL in patch clamp experiments*

19 To bind the CoFe_2O_4 magnetic particles coated with SH-groups to MscL, we used the
20 M42C mutant MscL, which was labelled with nitroxide spin labels or Alexa-dyes having the
21 SH-group, as shown previously (Perozo et al. 2001, Perozo et al 2002). M42C mutation
22 positions at the end of the TM1 transmembrane domain facing the outside of the membrane
23 (Fig. 2A). Since MscL is incorporated into the liposome membrane in a right-side out
24 orientation (Ajouz et al., 2000), magnetic nanoparticles can attach to MscL from the pipette
25 in the inside-out excised patch configuration. Magnetic field was applied to the membrane

1 with ring shaped magnets providing 300 mT field (Fig. 2B). When 4 s pressure ramp (-25
2 mmHg/s) was applied to the patch membrane before applying the magnetic field with the
3 nanoparticles attached, the M42C MscL channel started to open at approximately -70 mmHg
4 and up to four channels were activated at the maximum ramp pressure. In contrast, 5 min
5 after holding the same patch under the influence of the magnetic field the MscL activation
6 threshold did not change, however, the number of the activated MscL channels increased to
7 11 or more channels (Fig. 2C). We examined also the patch creeping using patch fluorometry
8 to find out if magnetic particles had any effect on it. The creeping under the magnetic field
9 and pressure ramp was very similar to that without magnetic field (Figure 2D and
10 supplementary video S1). These observations indicate that magnetic particles in the presence
11 of the magnetic field are the sole contributor to the increase in number of the activated MscL
12 channels.

13 In order to examine whether the channels would stop gating if the pressure was not
14 changing due to the ramp profile of the applied pressure, we also applied constant pressure to
15 the patch pipette in the presence of the magnetic field. At constant pressure and the magnetic
16 field present, the number of activated channel increased after application of the pressure ramp
17 and then remained constant (Figure 2E). Moreover, we applied and removed the magnetic
18 field sequentially to observe the effect of the magnetic field and superparamagnetic
19 nanoparticles on the gating of M42C channels under constant pressure. The pressure was
20 continuously applied by HSPC until a few channels opened and it was further kept at a
21 constant value. When in addition the magnetic field was applied, the open probability of the
22 channels increased to $NP_o = 0.19$. However, the open probability decreased to $NP_o = 0.05$
23 after the magnet was removed. When the magnetic field was applied again, the channels
24 started to open again frequently after several tens of seconds (Figure 2F). These results

1 further indicate that the increase in the channel number and open probability were caused by
2 the magnetic field.

3 We measured further the changes in time course of the channel activation threshold
4 and the number of channels with and without the magnetic nanoparticles being present during
5 application of the magnetic field. Opening threshold in the absence of magnetic nanoparticles
6 was slightly decreased 5min after applying magnetic field due to patch creeping known to
7 occur in patch clamp experiments (Nomura et al. 2012). This suggested that patch creeping
8 may also occur in the pipette under the influence of the magnetic field meaning that the
9 number of activated channels could also increase due to the reduced activation threshold
10 caused by the patch creeping (Fig. 2G). Interestingly, with nanomagnetic particles present we
11 did not observe the reduction of the activation threshold indicating that patch creeping did not
12 occur in this situation (Fig. 2D; Video S1). Nevertheless, the number of activated channels
13 increased with time after application of the magnetic field (Fig. 2G). This strongly suggests
14 that the increase in the number of channels was affected by the movement of magnetic
15 particles in the patch membrane under the influence of the magnetic field.

16 *Cytotoxicity of the superparamagnetic nanoparticles*

17 To examine the cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles we used cultures of A549 human cancer
18 cells to which the nanoparticles were added at different concentration of nano-conjugates
19 ranging from 10 to 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. One culture was kept blank for reference. After incubation,
20 the microscopic observation revealed a dense covering of the cells by nano-conjugate
21 suspension and the density of the suspension increased with the increase in the concentration
22 of the nano-conjugates. However, the blank culture showed no such coverage by the
23 suspension of nanoparticles. Instead, the culture showed clear surface of the attached cells, as
24 shown in Fig. 3A. Even though the nanoparticle suspension covered the cells, they showed no
25 change in their shape, size, growth pattern or number. The cell culture was also observed

1 under an inverted microscope in the 'Fuchs-Rosenthal' hemocytometer to determine the cell
2 viability, as shown in Fig 3*B*. The cells from all the cultures with different nano-conjugates
3 exhibited little or no cytotoxicity with cell viability ranging close to the blank culture, as
4 shown in Fig. 3*C*.

1 **Discussion:**

2 We developed superparamagnetic CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles for the activation of the MscL
3 channels reconstituted into azolectin liposomes. Magnetic hysteresis loops (Fig. 1C) are
4 typical for superparamagnetic particles, comparable to those described in the previous studies
5 (Pauline et al. 2012, Sun et al, 2012, Ayyappan et al, 2009, Lee et al. 1998). Our magnetic
6 measurements are consistent with XRD and TEM observations of nanoparticles small enough
7 to be superparamagnetic (Sun et al. 2012). Magnetically ordered nanoparticle core has large
8 magneto-crystalline anisotropy and large value of H_c (Pauline et al. 2012, Sun et al. 2012).
9 However, H_c of our nanoparticles is negligible (Fig. 1C). Consequently, the change of
10 magnetic moment of our nanoparticles with application of H occurs through rotation of
11 nanoparticles themselves and not by rotation of the magnetic moment within the
12 nanoparticles. No magnetic irreversibility was observed, indicative of negligible inter-particle
13 interaction. Magnetic field of 300 mT is considered strong enough to move the nanoparticles
14 on the liposome membrane (Supplementary Video S2).

15 By recording the channel activity in patch clamp experiments we found that the
16 number of activated channels increased upon application of the magnetic field in the presence
17 of the nanoparticles, which was not the case in the absence of the magnetic field. The
18 nanoparticles coated with SH-groups on their surface can bind to the cysteine residue of each
19 subunit of the M42C MscL channel mutant by forming disulphide bond, as previously shown
20 in several EPR and FRET spectroscopic studies (Corry et al. 2010, Wang et al. 2014, Perozo
21 et al. 2002). Alternatively, it is also possible that the nanoparticles could attach to the
22 liposome membrane due to the viscosity of the lipid bilayer. This is because there are two
23 possibilities to explain the increased number of activated channels in the presence of
24 nanoparticles and magnetic field. The nanoparticles bound to the channels would pull on the
25 MscL channel proteins in the presence of an inhomogeneous magnetic field, and thus open

1 the channels. In contrast, the nanoparticles attached to the liposome membrane would pull on
2 the membrane itself. Local nanoparticle density on the membrane is likely to be
3 inhomogeneous and therefore, different sections of the membrane would experience different
4 pull by the nanoparticles in an inhomogeneous field. The membrane is then locally stretched,
5 which would have a similar effect to the externally applied pressure opening the channels.
6 The strength of the magnetic field of 300 mT in our experiments was sufficient to move the
7 nanoparticles (Supplementary Video S2). Consequently, irrespectively of the way
8 nanoparticles were attached in our patch fluorometry experiments the increased number of
9 activated channels resulted from the movement of the nanoparticles induced by the magnetic
10 field.

11

12 **Conclusions:**

13 In summary, our study indicates that superparamagnetic nanoparticles of average size
14 of less than 6 nm coated with SH-groups are highly suitable as components of a trigger
15 mechanism for opening MscL nanovalves. Due to their very large open pore and property of
16 being modulated by mechanical stimuli MscL channels present an ideal candidate for use as
17 nanovalves in liposomal drug delivery. Consequently, a combination of MscL channels and
18 the magnetic nanoparticles generated for this study holds promise for use in development of
19 “smart liposomes”, a new generation of LDDS.

20

21

22

23

24

1 **Acknowledgements:**

2 We thank Paul Rhode for the purification of M42C MscL, Gilberto Casillas for transmission
3 electron microscopy, and Prof. Yoon-Bo Shim for the cytotoxicity test. This work was
4 supported by ‘AIIM (Australian Institute for Innovative Materials) for Gold/2014’ grant in
5 collaboration with Victor Chang Cardiac Research Institute, the Japanese Society for
6 Promotion of Science (JSPS) for a fellowship to YN and the National Health and Medical
7 Research Council of Australia for a Principal Research Fellowship to BM. This research used
8 equipment funded by the Australian Research Council (ARC) – Linkage, Infrastructure,
9 Equipment and Facilities (LIEF) grant LE120100104 located at the UOW Electron
10 Microscopy Centre.

11 **Author contributions:**

12 Y. N., M. M., J.H. and B. M. wrote the main manuscript text. M.M. prepared the magnetic
13 particles. Y. N., M. M. and H. E. conducted the experiments and analysed data. All authors
14 reviewed and edited the manuscript.

15

16 **Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.

17

18 **Figure legends:**

19 **Figure 1. Magnetic properties of the superparamagnetic nanoparticles. A.** X-ray
20 diffraction pattern of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles. **B.** TEM image of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles, with
21 EDS results in inset. **C.** The magnetic hysteresis loops of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles at various
22 temperatures. **D.** FTIR spectra of the CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticle coated with 1,6 hexanedithiol.

23

24 **Figure 2. Activity of the M42C MscL channels with nanoparticles in the patch pipette**
25 **with magnetic field. A.** The crystal structure of MscL on the view from side (left) and top

1 (right). Red spheres show methionine 42 of each subunit, which is replaced with cysteine in
2 this study. **B.** Patch clamp experimental chamber with a ring-shaped magnet (left) and a
3 protocol of applying magnetic field (right). **C.** Patch clamp recording from M42C MscL upon
4 application of a pressure ramp in the absence of the magnetic field (left) and 5 min after
5 application of the magnetic field (right; same patch). **D.** Patch creeping before (left) and after
6 (right) applying the magnetic field. Double arrows show the distance from the tip of the
7 pipette and the patch membrane. Scale bars show 5 μm . **E.** Recording from M42C MscL
8 under constant pressure. Left trace was recorded before applying magnetic field (no magnet)
9 and right trace shows a recording 5 min after applying the field (with magnet). Both traces
10 were recorded from the same patch. **F.** The effect of the magnetic field on the gating of
11 M42C MscL under constant pressure. The magnet was placed on the patch chamber (ON)
12 and taken off (OFF) during the recording. Open probability (NP_o) was calculated with
13 Clampfit software over periods indicated by horizontal bars. **G.** Time course of opening
14 threshold in relative units (left) and number of activated channels in the patch given in
15 relative units (right) in the presence of the magnetic field. The threshold and number of
16 activated channels are normalized by the value of control, which is measured before applying
17 magnetic field ($n = 5$). Black and red traces show without and with CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles in
18 the pipette, respectively. All experiments were performed independently more than three
19 times (Bars show standard error, Student t-test, *; $P = 0.036$).

20

21 **Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of the nanoparticles.** **A.** Images of cells in culture with the
22 increasing concentration of nanoparticles. **B.** Cells after staining with trypan blue showing
23 uncolored alive cells and blue dead cells. **C.** Cell viability in the different concentrations of
24 different nanoparticles.

25

1 **Table 1.** Comparison of magnetic CoFe₂O₄ particles

Reference	Particle size (nm)	M _s (emu/g)	H _c (Oe)
This study	≈ 5	37.3	180
Pauline et al., 2012	17-22	58-65	233-253
Ayyappan et al., 2009	≈ 15	60	250-300
Lee et al., 1998	bulk	80	5400

2

3

1 **Supplementary video legends**

2 **Video S1**

3 Patch creeping of liposome membrane in the presence of nanoparticles during application of
4 the magnetic field. 4-s pressure ramps were applied to the membrane without the ring-shaped
5 magnet. Then, the magnet was placed on the chamber in the middle of this video and the
6 same ramp pressure was applied.

7

8 **Video S2**

9 The movement of CoFe_2O_4 nanoparticles by ring-shaped magnet.

10

11

1 **References**

- 2 Ajouz B., Berrier, C., Besnard, M., Martinac, B. and Ghazi, A. (2000) Contributions of the
3 different extramembranous domains of the mechanosensitive ion channel MscL to its
4 response to membrane tension. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**(2),1015-1022.
- 5 Ayyappan S and Baldev R. (2009) Effect of Digestion Time on Size and Magnetic Properties
6 of Spinel CoFe₂O₄ Nanoparticles. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **113**, 590–596.
- 7 Chang, G., Spencer, R. H., Lee, A. T., Barclay, M. T. & Rees, D. C. (1998) Structure of the
8 MscL homolog from Mycobacterium tuberculosis: a gated mechanosensitive ion
9 channel. *Science* **282**, 2220-2226.
- 10 Corry, B. *et al.* (2010) An improved open-channel structure of MscL determined from FRET
11 confocal microscopy and simulation. *The Journal of general physiology* **136**, 483-
12 494, doi:10.1085/jgp.200910376.
- 13 Cruickshank, C. C., Minchin, R. F., Le Dain, A. C. & Martinac, B. (1997) Estimation of the
14 pore size of the large-conductance mechanosensitive ion channel of Escherichia coli.
15 *Biophys J* **73**, 1925-1931, doi:10.1016/S0006-3495(97)78223-7.
- 16 Guilherme V. M. Jacintho, A. G. B., Paola C, Suarez P. A. Z. and Rubim J C. (2009)
17 Structural Investigation of MFe₂O₄ (M) Fe, Co) Magnetic Fluids. *J. Phys. Chem. C*
18 **113**, 7684–7691.
- 19 Häse, C. C., Le Dain, A. C. & Martinac, B. (1995) Purification and functional reconstitution
20 of the recombinant large mechanosensitive ion channel (MscL) of Escherichia coli. *J*
21 *Biol Chem* **270**, 18329-18334.
- 22 Janoff, A. S. (1999) in *New York, NY, Marcel Dekker.*
- 23 Kim, B. H. *et al.* (2011) Large-scale synthesis of uniform and extremely small-sized iron
24 oxide nanoparticles for high-resolution T1 magnetic resonance imaging contrast

1 agents. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **133**, 12624-12631,
2 doi:10.1021/ja203340u.

3 Koçer, A. (2007) A remote controlled valve in liposomes for triggered liposomal release.
4 *Journal of liposome research* **17**, 219-225, doi:10.1080/08982100701528203.

5 Lee, J.G. and Kim C. S. (1998) Growth of ultra-fine cobalt ferrite particles by a sol–gel
6 method and their magnetic properties. *JOURNAL OF MATERIALS SCIENCE* **3**, 3965
7 — 3968.

8 Levina, N. *et al.* (1999) Protection of Escherichia coli cells against extreme turgor by
9 activation of MscS and MscL mechanosensitive channels: identification of genes
10 required for MscS activity. *The EMBO journal* **18**, 1730-1737,
11 doi:10.1093/emboj/18.7.1730.

12 Martinac, B. (2011) Bacterial mechanosensitive channels as a paradigm for mechanosensory
13 transduction. *Cellular physiology and biochemistry : international journal of*
14 *experimental cellular physiology, biochemistry, and pharmacology* **28**, 1051-1060,
15 doi:10.1159/000335842.

16 Nomura, T. *et al.* (2012) Differential effects of lipids and lyso-lipids on the
17 mechanosensitivity of the mechanosensitive channels MscL and MscS. *Proc Natl*
18 *Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 8770-8775, doi:10.1073/pnas.1200051109.

19 Ozturk N. and Semiha Bahceli C. C. (2005) FT-IR Spectroscopic Study of 1,5-Pentanedithiol
20 and 1,6-Hexanedithiol Adsorbed on NaA, CaA and NaY Zeolites. *Z. Naturforsch.*
21 **60a**, 633 – 636.

22 Pankhurst, Q. A., Jones, S.K. and Dobson J. (2003) Applications of magnetic nanoparticles in
23 biomedicine. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **36**, R167–R181.

24 Pankhurst, Q. A., Jones, S.K. and Dobson, J. (2009) Progress in applications of magnetic
25 nanoparticles in biomedicine. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **42**, 224001.

- 1 Pauline S. P. A. P. (2012) Size and Shape Control Evaluation of Cobalt (Co) and Cobalt
2 Ferrite (CoFe₂O₄) Magnetic Nanoparticles. *Archives of Physics Research* **3**, 78-83.
- 3 Perozo, E., Kloda, A., Cortes, D. M. & Martinac, B. (2001) Site-directed spin-labeling
4 analysis of reconstituted Mscl in the closed state. *The Journal of general physiology*
5 **118**, 193-206.
- 6 Perozo, E., Kloda, A., Cortes, D. M. & Martinac, B. (2002) Physical principles underlying
7 the transduction of bilayer deformation forces during mechanosensitive channel
8 gating. *Nat Struct Biol* **9**, 696-703, doi:10.1038/nsb827.
- 9 Shen, L., Wang, D., Wang, Y., Chen, Z., Ren, L., Zhou, X., Ke, X., Chena, M. and Yang, A.
10 (2013) One-step synthesis of monodisperse, water-soluble ultra-small Fe₃O₄
11 nanoparticles for potential bioapplication. *Nanoscale* **5**, 2133–2141.
- 12 Sun, C., Lee, J. S. & Zhang, M. (2008) Magnetic nanoparticles in MR imaging and drug
13 delivery. *Advanced drug delivery reviews* **60**, 1252-1265,
14 doi:10.1016/j.addr.2008.03.018.
- 15 Sun, Q.C., Cao, J., Tremel, W., and Musfeldt, J. L. (2012) Spectroscopic Signature of the
16 Superparamagnetic Transition and Surface Spin Disorder in CoFe₂O₄ Nanoparticles
17 *ACS nano* **6**, 4876–4883.
- 18 Suntres, Z. E. (2011) Liposomal Antioxidants for Protection against Oxidant-Induced
19 Damage. *Journal of toxicology* **2011**, 152474, doi:10.1155/2011/152474.
- 20 Svenson, S., Ed. (2004) in *ACS Symposium Series 879*. Washington, DC, American Chemical
21 Society .
- 22 Teng, J., Loukin, S., Anishkin, A. and Kung, C. (2015) The force-from-lipid (FFL) principle
23 of mechanosensitivity, at large and in elements. *Pflugers Archiv : European journal of*
24 *physiology* **467**, 27-37, doi:10.1007/s00424-014-1530-2.

- 1 van den Bogaart, G., Krasnikov, V. & Poolman, B. (2007) Dual-color fluorescence-burst
2 analysis to probe protein efflux through the mechanosensitive channel MscL. *Biophys*
3 *J* **92**, 1233-1240, doi:10.1529/biophysj.106.088708.
- 4 Wahajuddin Arora, S. (2012) Superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles: magnetic
5 nanoplatforms as drug carriers. *International journal of nanomedicine* **7**, 3445-3471,
6 doi:10.2147/IJN.S30320.
- 7 Wang, Y. *et al.* (2014) Single molecule FRET reveals pore size and opening mechanism of a
8 mechano-sensitive ion channel. *eLife* **3**, e01834, doi:10.7554/eLife.01834.

This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication. Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this work.

Citation of final publication: Nakayama, Yoshitaka; Mustapić, Mislav; Ebrahimian, Haleh; Wagner, Pawel; Kim, Jung Ho; Hossain, Md Shahriar Al; Horvat, Joseph and Martinac, Boris (2015) Magnetic nanoparticles for "smart liposomes". *European Biophysics Journal*, 44 (8): 647-54. ISSN 1432-1017

The final publication is available at Springer via <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00249-015-1059-0>